

United States Department of the Interior



FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE Mountain-Prairie Region

IN REPLY REFER TO: FWS/NWRS/068788 Mail Stop 60130

MAILING ADDRESS: Post Office Box 25486 Denver Federal Center Denver, Colorado 80225-0486 STREET LOCATION: 134 Union Boulevard Lakewood, Colorado 80228-1807

UCI 1 5 2018

Randall K. Rathbun
Depew Gillen Rathbun & McInteer LC
8301 E. 21st Street North, Suite 450
Wichita, Kansas 67206-2936

Dear Mr. Rathbun:

Thank you for your letter dated August 17, 2018, regarding the Quivira National Wildlife Refuge, Water Right File No. 7,571. I understand the frustration felt by representatives of Audubon of Kansas (AOK).

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) has worked collaboratively with stakeholders from 1994 to 2013 to mitigate reduced streamflows in Rattlesnake Creek through the formal Rattlesnake Creek Partnership Agreement. When those efforts failed, the Service had no choice but to seek a legal remedy through an impairment investigation and now a Request to Secure Water with the Chief Engineer.

As explained in the reply letter written by the Chief Engineer dated August 27, 2018, the original request to secure water filed by the Service was not posted to their website. The document dated January 17, 2017, is now available online and shows that the Service requested administration of our right in 2018. The Chief Engineer had already indicated that no administration would occur in 2017 according to a December 8, 2016, letter, hence why we did not request administration in 2017. The Service is also aware of the need to file the Request to Secure Water annually; therefore, a request will be submitted soon. I was made aware that your letter was received at the refuge office on August 20, 2018, and the Refuge Manager contacted Mr. Ron Klataske by phone to share the information provided here.

As you know, the Big Bend Groundwater Management District 5 is now drafting a Local Enhanced Management Area plan to provide a remedy for impairment. The Service is committed to working through the state based system of water rights administration and is following procedures outlined by the Chief Engineer to remedy impairment of the Service's senior right.

I hope that the information shared here was helpful to the representatives of AOK, and shows the Service has not been negligent in following procedures to protect the Service's senior water

right. Be assured that the Service plans on staying fully engaged in the process to remedy the impairment. If you need further information, please contact the Assistant Regional Director for the National Wildlife Refuge System in the Mountain-Prairie Region, Will Meeks, at (303) 236-4303.

Sincerely,

Regional Director

Novem E. Walk

Enclosure

cc:

Mr. Ron Klataske, Executive Director, Audubon of Kansas

Ms. Margy Stewart, Chair, Board of Trustees, Audubon of Kansas



United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

Mountain-Prairie Region



MAILING ADDRESS: P.O. Box 25486, DFC Denver, Colorado 80225-0486 STREET LOCATION: 134 Union Boulevard Lakewood, Colorado 80228-1807

David Barfield, P.E., Chief Engineer Kansas Department of Agriculture Division of Water Resources 1320 Research Park Drive Manhattan, Kansas 66502

JAN 1 7, 2017

Dear Mr. Barfield:

Enclosed is the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) request to secure water regarding water right No. 7571 from injury due to junior groundwater wells. The Service appreciates the help received during our January 03, 2016 phone conversation ensuring the form was filled out accurately. Please let us know if any further changes need to be made.

As we indicated in our December 01, 2016 letter, submission of this form will not preclude us from working further with Big Bend Groundwater Management District No. 5 to obtain a mutual solution. We must, however, have the solution be enforceable from your office and feel that submitting this request will ensure that enforceability occurs in 2018.

Please contact me at 303-236-4491 if you any questions or would like to discuss further. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Brian S. Caruso, Ph.D., P.E.

Chief, Division of Water Resources

REQUEST TO SECURE WATER

To:	Chi	ef Engineer	January 01, 2018			
	Kar	ision of Water Resources nsas Department of Agriculture his or her authorized agent)		(Date)		
1.	l ar	n presenting the following information	as the basis for action on my reque	est to secure water:		
	Tha	at pursuant to K.S.A. 82a-701 <u>et.</u> <u>seq.</u>	, a water right, identified as follows,	has been established:		
	a.	Vested Right				
		File No.	County	Source		
			Quantity	Rate		
	b.	Appropriation Right File No. 7571	Priority Date Aug	just 15, 1957		
		Status Certified				
		Rattlesnake Creek	14,632	300 cfs		
		Source	Quantity	Rate		
2.	Tha	at the authorized place of use for the v	water right is: Quivira National Wile	dlife Refuge		
	U.S	5. Dept. of the Interior - U.S. Fish an Name		x 25486, Denver Federal Center, Mailstop ver, CO 80225 Address		
		Name		Address		
		That the land described in paragraph (If different than owner of water right)				
		same as above				
		Name		Address		
		Name		Address		
4.		at the undersigned, (if not the owner)	has an interest in the above-describ	ped land and water right as follows:		
	Ag	ent	(tenant, lessee, buyer, contract or o	other)		
5.	Tha	at during this calendar year 0 acre-fe	et of water has been used underthis	s right.		
6.	Tha at I	at the undersigned has need for 14,63 ocations described as follows:	acre-feet of water at a rate of	g.p.m. for Recreational purposes		
	Fis	h and Wildlife Habitat, Forage				
	No	of Acres: 22,135 Kind of C	Crop: N/A			

	That I am prepared to, and will, available to me at a rate of <u>see</u> 20 <u>18</u> .	g.p.m. or less, co	mmencing at <u>12</u> o'clock A.M. o	n January 1,				
8.	That I have been informed that wa	ter is available from the	source of supply in the amount	of:				
	<u>Date</u>	Estin	nated Flow	Location				
	1974 - 2013		ariable Ra	ttlesnake Creek, Zenith Gage				
9.	That I have been informed that wa	ter is, or was, being div	erted from the source of supply	as follows:				
	<u>Date</u>	Water Right	Name	Estimated Rate of Diversion 30,000 - 60,000 AF per				
	1995 - 2007	Multiple	Junior Appropriators	year depletions to Rattlesnake Creek				
	Name of Person Big Bend GMD No. 5	12	<u>Date</u> /01/2016	Agreeable – Yes Or No				
	I request in accordance with t n, close, adjust or regulate the he cture as may be necessary to secu	eadgates, valves, or oth	ner controlling works of any dit	eer or his or her authorized agent ch, canal, conduit, pipe, well, or				
	n, close, adjust or regulate the he	eadgates, valves, or oth	ner controlling works of any dit entitled:					
	n, close, adjust or regulate the he	eadgates, valves, or oth	ner controlling works of any dit entitled:	ch, canal, conduit, pipe, well, or				
Sta	n, close, adjust or regulate the he cture as may be necessary to secu	eadgates, valves, or oth	ner controlling works of any dit entitled:	ch, canal, conduit, pipe, well, or				
Sta	n, close, adjust or regulate the he cture as may be necessary to secute of Karasas Colorado	eadgates, valves, or othere water to which I am each	ner controlling works of any diferitiled:	ch, canal, conduit, pipe, well, or				
Sta	te of Karasa Colorado Introduction of Jefferson Brian S. Car	eadgates, valves, or othere water to which I am each	per controlling works of any differititled:	ch, canal, conduit, pipe, well, or Signature				

Seasonal Rattlesnake Creek Water Need Estimates for Quivira National Wildlife Refuge, Prepared May 2015

Background

At the request of Kansas Department of Agriculture, Division of Water Resources (DWR), the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) has provided information to increase understanding of *seasonal* water needs to accomplish management objectives of the Quivira National Wildlife Refuge (Refuge). The Refuge's current annual Water Right 7571 on Rattlesnake Creek is 14,632 ac-ft. There is no single estimate that accurately predicts seasonal surface water needs of the Refuge because various factors influence water needs within and among years, such as shortand long-term weather patterns, the timing of wildlife events (e.g., migration), and changing habitat conditions.

Approach

<u>Scenario 1</u> — There was interest by DWR to evaluate the potential of using past water use records to quantify estimates of seasonal water needs to accomplish refuge management objectives. To accomplish this task, Refuge staff compiled 48 years of monthly water-use records and grouped months into seasons based on the life cycle events of waterbirds (timing of migration, relative abundances) and the lag time required to transfer water to wetlands through the ditch infrastructure (Table 1). For example, flooding a wetland to the appropriate depth can require days to weeks depending on location from the diversion, volume of water available, and existing soil moisture conditions (e.g., dry, saturated).

Table 1. Significant annual events largely considered in determining seasonal water needs to accomplish management objectives of Quivira National Wildlife Refuge.

Jan-Feb	Mar	-Apr	May-Jun		Jul-Sep	Oct-Nov	Dec				
	MANAGEMENT TO SUPPORT WILDLIFE FOOD & COVER REQUIREMENTS										
Use water where needed to provide/maintain semipermanent wetland habitat.											
Shallowly flood select units to saturate dry soils that will be used to produce wildlife foods.											
	and growth	of desired p Drawdown d	s for suitable germination lants used for wildlife food lates are based on								
		survival, gr	ect wetland units to support owth, and seed production of d wildlife food plants.		After seeds mature, g levels in wetlands to c and cover needs of ta						
CHRONOLO	OGY OF SPECIES	ANNUAL EV	ENTS OR WHEN LIFE REQUIRE	MENT	S NEED TO BE AVAILABLE	FOR SPECIES USE					
Waterfowl and bald eagle wintering habitat is provided when open water is	Peak spring waterfowl migration (habitat flooded <15 inches).	Main spring shorebird r (habitat flo inches and	nigration oded <6		Main fall shorebird migration (habitat flooded <6 inches and mudflat).						
available (generally where flooded deep and/or where flow prevents ice formation). Endangered whooping crane spring migration (shoreline & hab flooded <1 ft).			Breeding-related activities or waterbirds that require flood food and/or cover resources state-threatened snowy ploy endangered interior least terspecies in need of conservativail, black tern).	ded hal s, such a ver, the rn, and	bitat for as for the e for state	Endangered whooping crane fall migration (shoreline and habitat flooded <1 ft).					

After reviewing the water use records, Refuge staff made the determination to exclude years (n=28) when total annual water use <u>did not</u> exceed 7,000 ac-ft to prevent extreme bias in estimating seasonal water use due to

limited water availability and/or inappropriate timing of available water. For example, during low water years Refuge staff often receive and use water at less than optimal times (e.g., winter) to help increase the odds that at least some wetland habitat is flooded at critical times (e.g., spring waterbird migration). In this case, the average amount of water used during the winter season would be biased high. Conversely, it is common during low water years to not have sufficient water to maintain wetland vegetation, which results in low food production and sparse cover required by wildlife. In this case, the use of water during summer would be biased extremely low. The use of 7,000 ac-ft as a cutoff point was based on approximating 50% of the Refuge water right and, as such, is somewhat arbitrary.

For the 20 years of when total annual water use exceeded 7,000 ac-ft, water use for each year was partitioned into the appropriate seasons and the median, minimum, and maximum seasonal values across all years were calculated (Table 2).

Table 2. Seasonal median, minimum, and maximum water use (ac-ft) values, calculated using 20 years of data where annual use exceeded 7,000 ac-ft. Totals of the median and maximum seasonal water use values are respectively lower and higher than the current annual water right (14,632 ac-ft).

	Jan -Feb	Mar-Apr	May-Jun	Jul-Sep	Oct-Nov	Dec	Total
Median	986	1,115	1,062	2,117	1,781	684	7,746
Minimum	0	89	126	463	151	101	
Maximum	3,557	3,111	2,601	4,374	6,205	2,003	21,851

This Scenario 1 estimate is biased due to the following:

- Historic use does not accurately reflect water needs during any given year or season.
- Historic water use in a given season may not accurately reflect the volume of water that would have been used if water had been available during that season or, perhaps, previous to that season.
- The use of records that exceeded 7,000 ac-ft was arbitrary and only represents nearly half of the Refuge water right. As such, these estimates likely are biased low.

Scenario 2 -

Scenario 2 is based on achieving minimum requirements of CCP objectives following a drought year and water use was not constrained by the current water right (Table 3, Scenario 2). Unlike Scenario 1, seasons in Scenario 2 were defined by CCP habitat-based objectives, as approved in 2013. Data used to develop this scenario included area estimates and area-capacity curves developed by the Service for individual wetlands, published long-term precipitation and pan evaporation data (including the use of a coefficient to account for shallow wetlands), soil infiltration rates calculated based on information in NRCS soil survey data (SSURGO), LiDAR data to estimate volume of ditches, and aerial imagery to estimate surface area of water in the Big and Little Salt Marshes at the beginning of the scenario.

Table 3. Comparison of Rattlesnake Creek surface water use Scenarios 1 and 2 for Quivira NWR.

		Seasonal Water Use Estimates (Acre-Feet)											
Scenario	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total
1	98	986 1,115		1,0	62	2,117			1,781		684	7,746	
2	3,144		7,427		2,8	95	4,053			5,8		81	23,400

This Scenario 2 estimate is biased due to the following:

- Water loss due to plant transpiration was not included in water use estimates (which would increase water needs to meet objectives).
- Water loss due to soil infiltration in some wetlands was underestimated because values for the available water capacity of 2,300 acres of wetland soils were not available (which would increase water needs to meet objectives).

- Water loss due to horizontal seepage in ditches during initial flooding was not estimated (which would increase water needs to meet objectives).
- Estimate based on a "normal precipitation" year following a drought year (all units dry); thus, a large volume of water (3,144 acre-feet) is needed to initially flood the Little Salt Marsh before water can be diverted elsewhere on the Refuge. This volume would be lower in years not preceded by drought.
- Estimate based on initially flooding only units and infrastructure on the south end of the Refuge. If north portion of Refuge were flooded early in the year, water use estimates would increase.
- Seasons are based on habitat objectives and do not always reflect the water management activities/schedules (e.g., time required for water to travel from diversion to wetland of interest).

Results

The seasonal estimates in Table 4 were developed after considering Scenarios 1 and 2 described in the approach above.

Table 4. Seasonal Rattlesnake Creek surface water need estimates for Quivira NWR, given the current water right.

Seasonal Water Use (Acre-Feet)								
Jan-Feb	Mar-Apr	May-Jun	Jul-Sep	Oct-Nov	Dec	Total		
1,500	3,500	2,000	3,500	3,632	500	14,632		

Although Scenarios 1 and 2 were developed based on quantitative information; these estimates were constrained by limitations that precluded either scenario from being used to directly estimate seasonal water needs. In general, the estimate based on past water use is known to be flawed because the Refuge either did not receive its full annual right of 14,632 ac-ft and/or the seasonal availability of water was not available or lacking, which resulted in the use of water during suboptimal times that often limited or impeded the accomplishment of management objectives. In contrast, the Scenario 2 estimate, based on water needs following drought, exceeded the Refuge water right even though important factors (e.g., water infiltration in ditches, plant transpiration) that would have increased water needs were not included in the estimate. Therefore, the Service used information from both Scenario 1 and Scenario 2 to adjust water use so total annual use matches the current water right of 14,632 ac-ft (Table 4).